A Note from the IGAC Co-chairs: Kathy Law and Tong Zhu

The IGAC 2008 conference in Annecy, France was a great success with more than 500 attendees. A highlight of the conference was the active engagement of young scientists, who competed in a Young Scientist Poster Contest. At the IGAC 2008 conference, the following five young scientists received the best poster awards:

1. Susannah Burrows, MPI, Mainz, Germany: “Modeling the transport of bacteria as aerosol in the chemistry-climate model ECHAM5/MESSy”

2. Timothy Bertram, Univ. of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA: “Towards in-situ observations of N₂O₅ reactivity”

3. Aldona Wiecek, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland: “Observational constraints on upper tropospheric mineral dust aerosol”


5. Michelle Cain, Univ. of Reading, Reading, U.K.: ”Transport and ozone photochemistry in the West African Monsoon region”

These were selected from 83 posters by young scientists, and it was not an easy job for the jury to identify the best among the excellent candidates.

This newsletter includes articles from three of these five Young Scientist poster award winners. In “Direct Observations of N₂O₅ Reactivity”, Timothy H. Bertram presents results from the first direct, in situ measurements of N₂O₅ reactivity. In “Mineral dust: observations of emission events and modeling of transport to the upper troposphere” Aldona Wiecek et al. explore differences between two mineral dust emission events in the Sahara and one in Asia and the impact that these may have on the availability of mineral dust ice nuclei for interactions with pure ice (cirrus) clouds. In the article by Ariela D’Angiola et al. “Development of spatially disaggregated on-road transport emission inventories for the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires, Argentina”, a travel-based bottom-up approach was employed for the computation of annual emission inventories of criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases from on-road mobile sources for the year 2006 for the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires, Argentina.

The fourth article of this newsletter is by John Sodeau, summarizing the Lab Studies workshop that took place in June 2007 in Cambridge, U.K. This workshop was a joint endeavor of IGAC and SPARC, and specifically the HiT and AICT Tasks. IGAC and SPARC used to have a joint activity in Lab Studies and we hope the workshop will revitalize this activity. We also hope it will help resolve uncertainties about the role of halogens in determining atmospheric chemical composition and feedbacks with climate (as discussed by vonGlasow in IGACTivities, Issue No. 39) and more generally the issues around Air-Ice Chemical Interactions (see IGACTivities Issue No. 29). These and other past issues of IGACTivities can be downloaded as pdfs from http://www.igac.noaa.gov/newsletter/index.php.
Introduction

Both NOx (NOx ≡ NO+NO2) and ozone (O3) are removed from the atmosphere at night through reactions of N2O5 and NO3 with aerosol particles and volatile organic compounds (VOC), respectively. Our ability to determine the impact of nocturnal NOx processing on atmospheric oxidants and particle mass loadings continues to be limited by uncertainty in the rates and product branching ratios of the reactions highlighted in Figure 1. Until recently, measurements of NO3 and N2O5 reactivity have been the province of: i) laboratory studies, employing simplified aerosol types and representative VOC to describe functional dependences [Folkers, et al., 2003; Thornton, et al., 2003; Mentel, et al., 1999; Berndt and Boge, 1997], and ii) steady-state analyses using field measurements of NO3 and N2O5 mixing ratios to infer ensemble reaction rates [Aldener, et al., 2006; Brown, et al., 2006]. In this study, we present results from the first direct, in situ measurements of N2O5 reactivity. Such measurements provide model-relevant parameters without requiring assumptions about air mass history or extrapolation from idealized laboratory systems. The results we obtained have important implications for the nocturnal processing of NOx, illustrate the dependence of N2O5 reactivity on both particle composition and phase, and provide insights into particulate liquid water content and availability.

Experimental Methods

N2O5 reactivity was determined directly on ambient aerosol particles at two sampling locations (Boulder, Colorado, USA and Seattle, Washington, USA) during the summer of 2008. The pseudo-first order rate coefficient for N2O5 loss to aerosol particles (khet) was retrieved on a near-hourly basis, using an entrained aerosol flow reactor coupled to a custom-built chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS). Co-located observations of particle surface area (Sa), particle chemical composition, and basic meteorological parameters were used to determine the reactive uptake coefficient (γ) and assess the role of composition and phase in driving variability in the observed N2O5 reactivity.

Ambient Observations

Observed values of γ(N2O5) from the two urban-suburban sampling locations were highly variable, with the reactive uptake coefficient spanning two orders of magnitude (5x10^-4 < γ < 0.03). N2O5 reactivity was small in regions of low relative humidity (RH<30%, typical of Boulder observations), suggesting that the ambient particles were either crystalline or had very little available liquid water. In contrast, γ(N2O5) was highly variable at high RH (RH>60%, typical of Seattle observations), illustrating the control of particle chemical composition over N2O5 reactivity at higher RH. These results reflect the strong

Figure 1. Nocturnal processing of nitrogen oxides. N2O5 and NOx, formed via the nocturnal oxidation of NO by O3, rapidly reach an equilibrium state set by the ambient temperature and NOx mixing ratio. Accurate representation of both the loss rates and product branching ratios for these reactions is critical for describing the effect of nocturnal nitrogen processing on oxidant concentrations and particle mass loadings.
dependence of $g(N_2O_5)$ on particle liquid water content. As shown in Figure 2, comparison with ambient observations of particle chemical composition reveal a strong, inverse relationship between $g(N_2O_5)$ and particulate organic matter mass fraction ($F_{POM}$). The observed dependence of $g(N_2O_5)$ on $F_{POM}$ at high RH can be hypothesized to be a result of: i) an increase in the fraction of externally mixed hydrophobic organic particles, ii) a decrease in the liquid water mass fraction of internally mixed particles following increased partitioning of hydrophobic organics to existing particles, iii) an increasing role of organic coatings that limit either $N_2O_5$ surface accommodation or $N_2O_5$ accessibility to particle liquid water or iv) some combination of all of the above. Further discussion of the role of particle chemical composition and phase in determining $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ can be found in Bertram et al. [2008], submitted to Geophysical Research Letters.

Atmospheric Implications

The current generation of regional and global chemistry models parameterize $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ using the existing suite of laboratory measurements [Evans and Jacob, 2005; Riemer, et al., 2003; Dentener and Crutzen, 1993]. Due to the complexity in the organic component of ambient particles, parameterizations based on a relatively small set of organic surrogates may not accurately represent atmospheric conditions. The observations presented here imply that organic material found in particles near their source region is largely hydrophobic, acting to limit liquid water availability and suppress $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. The fraction of NOx removed during the course of a single night will be sensitive to $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, particle loadings and NO3 reactivity, as we illustrate with the output of a time-dependent box model simulation (Figure 3). For the conditions sampled in Seattle, these simulations show that current model parameterizations overestimate $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ and that modeled NOx will be sensitive to the changes in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ required to match values suggested by our observations. Future ambient observations of NO3 reactivity outside of near-source regions will be instructive in the development of robust parameterizations for $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ that will ultimately refine the representation of the nocturnal NOx lifetime in chemical transport models. If the results presented here prove to be a general description for the role of organics in controlling $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, we can expect that the NOx lifetimes in most models will be extended, having consequent effects on the rate of tropospheric O3 production. Further, these results imply that the NOx lifetime will be more strongly dependent on the modeled NO3 reactivity.
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Mineral dust: observations of emission events and modeling of transport to the upper troposphere
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Introduction

Naturally occurring mineral dust is, on a mass basis, the most abundant aerosol in the atmosphere (Satheesh and Moorthy, 2005). Emitted every few days in large quantities from sources in the Sahara in the summer, and from sources in Asia in the spring, it travels great distances westward across the Atlantic and eastward across the Pacific, respectively (e.g., Miller et al., 2006).

Along its journey, it is known to fertilize the oceans with nutrients and in this way it has feedbacks on the carbon cycle (Tegen, 2003). These dust plumes also have a strong direct radiative aerosol effect, which feeds back on surface temperatures and winds, as well as on climate. The direct radiative effects of African dust have been the focus of several recent measurement campaigns, including SAMUM, DODO and DABEX. However, one of the biggest uncertainties related to aerosols in general and mineral dust in particular, is their indirect radiative effect, i.e., how they affect cloud reflectivity and lifetime, as well as their effect on the precipitating properties of clouds (Forster et al., 2007). For example, there is now increasing evidence that the ubiquitous layer of mineral dust found over the tropical Atlantic during the summer is linked to hurricane formation processes and hurricane intensity (e.g., Dunion and Velden, 2004; Wu, 2007).

Analysis of TRMM\textsuperscript{4} data reveals that cold rain processes account for more than two thirds of the total rain amount in the tropics (Lau and Wu, 2003). These processes involve the ice phase as intermediate state. This ice may occur at high altitudes in cold cirrus clouds or at intermediate altitudes in ice or mixed-phase clouds containing an external mixture of ice crystals and supercooled water droplets. In the mixed-phase or low ice clouds nucleation of ice cannot occur homogeneously, requiring ice nuclei to initiate heterogeneous nucleation. It has been known for a long time that mineral dust particles may be efficient ice nuclei (IN) (Mason and Maybank, 1958; DeMott et al., 2003a) and recent aircraft-based single-particle measurements find evidence of mineral dust in ice crystal residuals (e.g. DeMott et al., 2003b). Additionally, polarization LIDAR measurements provide further evidence for both African and Asian dust causing the glaciation of supercooled water clouds at a range of temperatures (Sassen, 2002; Sassen et al., 2003; Ansmann et al., 2005, 2008). However, uncertainties in this aerosol’s atmospheric lifecycle are very large (Textor et al., 2006; Cakmur et al., 2006) thus introducing corresponding uncertainties in quantitative estimates of the impact of mineral dust on the ice phase of clouds and precipitation in global models (e.g., Hoose et al., 2008; Storelvmo et al., 2008).

The Saharan dust source accounts for nearly two thirds of the total mass of global dust emissions, and, to first order, Asian dust sources make up the balance (Satheesh and Moorthy, 2005). On account of its low source latitude (15-30° N) Saharan dust is transported

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1}Saharan Mineral dust exPeriment, http://samum.tropos.de/scopeover.html
\item \textsuperscript{2}Dust OutFlow and Deposition to the Ocean, http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/data/solas/projects/dodo.html
\item \textsuperscript{3}Dust And Biomass EXperiment, http://badc.nerc.ac.uk/data/dabex/
\item \textsuperscript{4}Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, http://trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov/
\end{itemize}
westwards across the Atlantic, while Asian dust, which is sourced between 30-50° N, is transported eastwards across the Pacific. Although Asian dust sources comprise the Taklimakan and Gobi deserts, we focus on the former, as dust from the Gobi desert is thought to be confined to < 3 km altitude 90% of the time. However, dust from the Taklimakan desert (found within the Tarim Basin) is entrained relatively easily to > 5 km (Sun et al., 2001), partly due to the complex surrounding topography (Figure 1).

The present study explores differences between two mineral dust emission events in the Sahara and one in Asia, and the impact that these may have on the availability of mineral dust ice nuclei (IN) for interactions with pure ice (cirrus) clouds.

Method

The approach taken was to perform trajectory-based case studies of dust emission events in both desert regions using a combination of active (CALIPSO5) and passive (OMI6) satellite observations, as well as a dust emission model (NAAPS7). Forward trajectory calculations were started from domains of high dust concentration as shown by the satellite measurements and model simulations (in close proximity to, but not exactly coincident with, known dust source regions). The ascent of trajectories was evaluated along with the air’s temperature (T) and relative humidity with respect to water (RHw) and ice (RHi), as these are known from laboratory studies to affect the activity of IN. We also diagnosed local cloud liquid and ice water content (LWC, IWC) and equivalent potential temperature (θe), although these variables are not discussed herein.

All trajectories were calculated using the LAGRangian trajectory ANalysis TOol (LAGRANTO) developed by Wernli and Davies (1997). In order to better capture the complex wind patterns in Asia’s Taklimakan desert, which is surrounded by the extremely rugged topography of the Tarim Basin margin (Figure 1), we employed the highest resolution wind fields available operationally at present. These are T799 / ~0.225° horizontal resolution analyses available at 00Z, 06Z, 12Z and 18Z from the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECWMF). The ECWMF model uses a hybrid coordinate system that follows the terrain near the surface and relaxes to horizontal surfaces in higher model layers; there are ~50 model levels in the troposphere alone (Untch et al., 2006).

The relative humidity with respect to water and ice was calculated in a Lagrangian manner by subjecting the relative humidity from the initial trajectory time (t = 0) to pressure and temperature values at all future times in the trajectory. The advantage of this approach is that we were able to decouple from the treatment of water condensation and ice nucleation employed in the ECWMF model. In particular, we were able to simulate conditions of ice supersaturation along our trajectories, which are not represented in ECWMF analyses fields. However, the quality of assimilated fields in remote regions with few observations can always be questioned. In the case of the Taklimakan desert in the Tarim Basin, we compared the surface values of T and RH in the ECWMF model with ground-based station data (Tazhong Station 39.0°N, 83.67°E, 1100 m asl) and found very

\[^{6}\text{Ozone Monitoring Instrument, http://www.knmi.nl/omi}\]
good agreement throughout the year.

A drawback of our approach is that we neglect mixing processes in the “transported” or “Lagrangian” relative humidities. However, the wind-blown dust from the Tazhong region often rises in parcels of very hot and dry air – e.g. 30°C and 4% RH – so that mixing with air from the less arid regions surrounding the Taklimakan will mostly result in a moistening effect. Hence, as long as we are predominantly interested in whether the dust has any chance to reach the 0°C-level without undergoing previous processing or washout by cloud drop formation, the no-mixing assumption is a justified and conservative simplification.

Figure 2 Panels A and B show the Level 3 Aerosol Index measured during strong dust emission events by the OMI satellite instrument over the Sahara (Jul-15-2007) and over the Tarim basin (May-20-2007). The AI values are set to saturate at 4.5. Boundaries of trajectory calculation regions are shown as in Figure 1, while the near-vertical red lines indicate the location of same-day, night-time CALIPSO satellite instrument measurements, which are shown in Panels C and D. The CALIPSO data (version 2.01) shows total attenuated backscatter [km⁻¹sr⁻¹] at 532 nm as a function of height [km] approximately from north to south (left to right), as indicated by the red lines in Panels A and B. The thin horizontal red line in Panels C and D shows the topography.
Case Studies

Dust activity is very strong in the summer months in Africa and in the spring months in Asia. As such we were able to find an intense dust emission event in West Africa on July 15th, 2007, and one in the Taklimakan desert on May 20th, 2007. The events manifest themselves as an increased aerosol index in the OMI satellite measurements (Figure 2). Given the location and season, it is very unlikely that the signal is due to other absorbing aerosols; nonetheless, we confirmed that dust emission was also predicted with the NAAPS model (not shown). The vertical dimension of the dust emissions is revealed in the CALIPSO satellite measurements of total attenuated backscatter, also shown in Figure 2. The African plume is ~5 km thick over nearly its entire latitudinal extent from ~30°N to ~15°N. Regions of total or near-total extinction of the CALIPSO lidar signal manifest as dark blue vertical stripes underneath thin and scattered water clouds (regions of strong backscatter shaded grey-white in Figure 2, panels C and D). On May 20th, the Taklimakan plume is obstructed by clouds except at the southern margins of the Tarim Basin, already on the northern slopes of the Tibetan Plateau. Nonetheless, we chose this event because of additional

Figure 2 Continued.
region, 729 trajectories for African region R1 and 637 trajectories for African region R2, both focusing on zones of high aerosol index observations indicated by the OMI satellite. A comparable set of 570 trajectories was generated for the single Taklimakan region R3. Calculations in each horizontal plane were carried out at pressures of 600, 700 and 800 mb, as well as at two times, 00Z and 12Z. Figure 3 shows African OMI and CALIPSO observations, NAAPS model simulations and our own trajectory calculations (not shown), which provide further evidence that a strong dust emission event is taking place.

One-week (168 hr) forward trajectories were calculated by filling the domains indicated in Figure 1 with uniformly distributed trajectories every 0.225°. This resulted in 8575 trajectories for the entire African region, 729 trajectories for African region R1 and 637 trajectories for African region R2, both focusing on zones of high aerosol index observations indicated by the OMI satellite. A comparable set of 570 trajectories was generated for the single Taklimakan region R3. Calculations in each horizontal plane were carried out at pressures of 600, 700 and 800 mb, as well as at two times, 00Z and 12Z. Figure 3 shows African

\[ \text{Figure 3 Trajectories started from African plume regions R1 and R2 that ascended from 700 mb to 450 mb (1.1% of all trajectories). The inset shows their starting coordinates as red points set among a grid showing all trajectory starting points (small blue dots). The black dots in the main figure indicate the trajectory location at 24 hour intervals and the color along a trajectory indicates its pressure (mb).} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4. As in Figure 3, but for trajectories started from the Asian plume region R3 which ascended from 700 mb to 450 mb (93% of all trajectories).} \]
The reason for these differences in ascent is likely a combination of three factors: First, the complex topography surrounding the Taklimakan Desert, which under easterly winds forces the dust-laden air upwards at the solid walls of the south-west margins of the Tarim Basin (see Figure 1). Second, the overall higher elevation of the Taklimakan Desert (900 m at the Eastern rim near 90°E to 1500 m at the western rim near 75°E) versus the West African dust source (less than a few hundred meters), which implies that the Taklimakan desert reaches surface potential temperatures similar to those over Africa, even though the Sahara is a much hotter desert. Third, and probably most important, upon adiabatic ascent, the surface potential temperature values in question (~300-320 K) climatologically correspond to higher altitudes at mid-latitudes than in the tropics.

While assessing the relative importance of these three factors in dust lifting is beyond the scope of this study, we did examine other variables along the ascending trajectory paths in order to understand better the role of dust aerosol as IN. In particular, we tested the trajectories for conditions in which cirrus clouds could exist in isolation from mixed-phase clouds, i.e. where RH_\text{w} < 100\% and RH_i > 100\%. Furthermore, we looked at two temperature ranges separately: T < -35°C and -35°C < T < 0°C. In the first range, temperatures are low enough that ice can nucleate homogeneously via the spontaneous freezing of liquid-only droplets (provided they consist of pure water or sufficiently dilute solutions). The second temperature range is too warm for homogeneous nucleation; however, ice can nucleate heterogeneously, i.e. via the freezing of droplets of pure water or liquid solutions that contain a solid immersion such as a mineral dust particle (e.g. DeMott et al., 2003a).

Based on trajectory simulations originating from 600, 700 and 800 mb at both 00Z and 12Z and from both the Taklimakan (R3) as well as West Africa (R1 and R2), we find that no trajectories ever reach temperatures colder than -35°C prior to achieving water saturation. Although the true relative humidities found along the transport trajectories will be influenced by both mixing and condensation, which we do not model explicitly, we can cautiously conclude that mineral dust aerosols are unlikely to play a significant role in ice nucleation at homogeneous freezing temperatures.

Figure 5 The percentage of trajectories from different regions (R1, R2, R3 and Full, as shown in Figure 1) and starting pressures that ascended to various minimum pressure (or maximum height) criteria; the abbreviations in the legend refer to region name and starting pressure (600, 700 or 800 mb).

A pressure of 700 mb was the chosen starting pressure because it falls in the middle of the African dust plume and it is also firmly above the topography of the Tarim Basin (900-1500 m); however, the trajectory simulations were also repeated in all regions at starting pressures of 800 mb (very close to the surface of the Tarim Basin) and 600 mb. In all cases, the Asian trajectories experienced considerably more ascent than the African trajectories, as summarized in Figure 5. We tested for ascent to various minimum pressures (maximum heights) and found that even when the criterion was an ascent to 250 mb (≥ 10 km), the Asian trajectories experienced ~20% more ascent than the African trajectories. Finally, all calculations were also repeated for trajectories starting from 12Z and the results remain very similar.

The reason for these differences in ascent is likely a combination of three factors: First, the complex topography surrounding the Taklimakan Desert, which under easterly winds forces the dust-laden air upwards at the solid walls of the south-west margins of the Tarim Basin (see Figure 1). Second, the overall higher elevation of the Taklimakan Desert (900 m at the Eastern rim near 90°E to 1500 m at the western rim near 75°E) versus the West African dust source (less than a few hundred meters), which implies that the Taklimakan desert reaches surface potential temperatures similar to those over Africa, even though the Sahara is a much hotter desert. Third, and probably most important, upon adiabatic ascent, the surface potential temperature values in question (~300-320 K) climatologically correspond to higher altitudes at mid-latitudes than in the tropics.

While assessing the relative importance of these three factors in dust lifting is beyond the scope of this study, we did examine other variables along the ascending trajectory paths in order to understand better the role of dust aerosol as IN. In particular, we tested the trajectories for conditions in which cirrus clouds could exist in isolation from mixed-phase clouds, i.e. where RH_\text{w} < 100\% and RH_i > 100\%. Furthermore, we looked at two temperature ranges separately: T < -35°C and -35°C < T < 0°C. In the first range, temperatures are low enough that ice can nucleate homogeneously via the spontaneous freezing of liquid-only droplets (provided they consist of pure water or sufficiently dilute solutions). The second temperature range is too warm for homogeneous nucleation; however, ice can nucleate heterogeneously, i.e. via the freezing of droplets of pure water or liquid solutions that contain a solid immersion such as a mineral dust particle (e.g. DeMott et al., 2003a).

Based on trajectory simulations originating from 600, 700 and 800 mb at both 00Z and 12Z and from both the Taklimakan (R3) as well as West Africa (R1 and R2), we find that no trajectories ever reach temperatures colder than -35°C prior to achieving water saturation. Although the true relative humidities found along the transport trajectories will be influenced by both mixing and condensation, which we do not model explicitly, we can cautiously conclude that mineral dust aerosols are unlikely to play a significant role in ice nucleation at homogeneous freezing temperatures.

It is likely that over the Sahara some re-release of IN at convective outflow levels is taking place, however, these IN would be heavily processed, and as such are not the focus of our study. Concerning the temperature range from -35°C < T < 0°C, we find that on average, 2.9% of Taklimakan R3 trajectory points (where the total points are the number of trajectories multiplied by number of points in each trajectory) meet the criterion of supersaturation with respect to ice while not having reached water saturation. Trajectories originating from West African regions R1 and R2 meet the same criteria, on average, 0.5% and 0.8% of the time, respectively. The implication of this is that, if our cases are representative beyond the two episodes presented so far, the much smaller Taklimakan Desert may be a more important source of uncoated ice nuclei than the West African dust sources, which emit much more dust mass in total. Further trajectory studies of a statistical nature, which are the subject of a forthcoming publication, indicate that this is indeed the case.
Summary
The present study explored differences between mineral dust emission events in West African and Asian (Taklimakan) deserts, focusing on the availability of bare mineral dust ice nuclei for interactions with cirrus clouds without previous processing or washout by liquid water clouds. One-week trajectory calculations with high-resolution ECMWF fields were used to track transported (Lagrangian) relative humidities with respect to water and ice at temperatures below 0°C for two case studies in May (Asia) and July (Africa) of 2007. Transport trajectories can reasonably be assumed to carry dust with them throughout the year, except for the months of December-February, which are quiescent with respect to dust emission in both regions. None of the simulated air parcels reach regions where homogeneous nucleation can take place (T < -35°C) along trajectories that have not experienced water saturation first, i.e. it is very unlikely that mineral dust particles could be a serious competitor for homogeneous nucleation during the formation of high, cold cirrus clouds. For the temperature region between -35°C < T < 0°C, i.e. in air parcels exhibiting necessary conditions for warmer ice clouds at lower altitudes, a small but significant number of air parcels are found to follow trajectories where RHw < 100% and RHl > 100% are simultaneously maintained. However, the potential for such low ice clouds originating from the Taklimakan desert is greater than that of the Sahara by a factor of 4-6 in the case studies presented in this work. The implication is that although the Sahara is by far the biggest source of dust in the world, the much smaller Taklimakan desert in China’s Tarim Basin may be of greater importance as a source of ice nuclei affecting cirrus cloud formation. This is likely the result of several meteorological factors, including the complex regional topography combined with the higher altitude of Taklimakan dust emissions and, on the synoptic scale, the higher altitude of potential temperature levels in the free troposphere at mid-latitudes than in the tropics.

The representativeness of the case studies presented in this work has been established more firmly through a comprehensive trajectory analysis study of a statistical nature from the West African and Taklimakan dust source regions for the entire year 2007. Furthermore, the very active Bodélé source region in Africa and the Gobi Desert in Asia were also examined. Finally, an analysis of the potential availability of mineral dust has been carried out in mixed-phase as well as warm cloud regions, and is the subject of a publication currently in preparation.
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Abstract

Emission inventories are essential for studies of both air quality and climate. The incorporation of local knowledge and locally specific emission factors and activity data are essential to building accurate local and global inventories for both of these types of studies. Here, a travel-based bottom-up approach was employed for the computation of annual emission inventories of criteria pollutants and greenhouse gases from on-road mobile sources for the year 2006 for the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires, Argentina. This was done for a range of spatial resolutions, making it useful for both local (e.g. air quality) and regional to global (e.g. climate) studies. Local, regional and international databases and methodologies were analyzed for the selection of the most representative emission factors for the 35 vehicle categories that make up the local fleet. A disaggregation methodology of the number of vehicles by district employing a socioeconomic parameter is hereby presented.

Zonification of MABA

![Map of districts of the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires](image)

Figure 1. Districts of the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires grouped by analyzed zone.

Districts of MABA:

1) City of Buenos Aires
2) San Fernando
3) San Isidro
4) Tigre
5) Vicente López
6) General San Martín
7) Hurlingham
8) Ituzaingó
9) José C. Paz
10) La Matanza
11) Malvinas Argentinas
12) Merlo
13) Moreno
14) Morón
15) San Miguel
16) Tres de Febrero
17) Almirante Brown
18) Avellaneda
19) Berazategui
20) Esteban Echeverría
21) Ezeiza
22) Florencio Varela
23) Luján
24) Lomas de Zamora
25) Quilmes
Introduction

The Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires (MABA) is the 10th largest megacity in the world and the 3rd largest in Latin America, with 12.8 million inhabitants (UN, 2008), accounting for 31% of the country’s population but only 0.14% of the national territory. It comprises an area of 3,647 km² that extends 110 km N-S and 70 km E-W. It presents an average population density of 4,600 inhabitants per square kilometer and 2.4 million circulating vehicles distributed as follows: 93% passenger vehicles, 5% light-duty trucks and 2% heavy-duty trucks and buses. (See Table 1 for population data of the MABA). Following the geopolitical division proposed by the National Institute of Statistics and Census (INDEC, 2001), the MABA is composed of the City of Buenos Aires (the federal district that acts as the capital of the country) and the 24 radial surrounding districts that are part of the province of Buenos Aires (Figure 1).

According to the Greenhouse Gas National Emission Inventory of Argentina for the year 2000, on-road transport represents 30% of total CO₂ emissions and 55%...
of total CO emissions, accounting for land use, land use change and forestry (Fundación Bariloche, 2005). As in many developing countries, despite the size of this highly populated urban zone, information on air quality is fragmentary and scarce, the availability of local and process-specific information on emissions represents a serious data gap (Guttikunda et al., 2005) and there is still no official inventory of mobile sources. The fact that three governmental levels (city, provincial and national) are present in the MABA has a direct effect on the availability, overlap and gaps in the consulted information sources. As a part of the South American Emissions, Megacities and Climate (SAEMC) 4-year regional project, the present emission inventories are being developed to provide input data for the modeling of regional air pollutant transport.

Methodology
The process of estimating mobile emissions is centered in a simple equation that combines activity data (number of vehicles and the kilometers traveled by them during the analyzed period of time) and an emission factor (which represents the emission rate per unit of activity that comes in the form of grams of pollutant emitted per kilometer traveled). Activity data can be determined through a range of procedures such as traffic surveys and transportation models, while emission factors are determined either under laboratory-controlled conditions for pre-determined driving cycles or using on-board emissions measurement instrumentation. Mobile source emission inventory calculations depend upon a large number of parameters: travel demand, traffic conditions, vehicle operating mode and conditions, type of vehicle, fuel characteristics, local climate conditions and topography (Reynolds and Broderick, 2000). For the present study, a bottom-up approach was implemented for the development of the emission inventories, therefore differences should be expected in comparisons to the national inventories. Here, the data employed is city-specific and is considered more accurate than national data, as the degree of detail is much higher since the geographical units covered are smaller (Butler et al., 2008).

Activity data (AD)
For the present fleet classification the considerations proposed in the COPERT1 methodology (Gkatzoflias et al., 2007) were implemented, bearing in mind that despite the fact that the Argentinean fleet shares similarities with the European fleets, there exist important differences in the vintage of the average fleet and in vehicle maintenance practices. The local fleet was arranged in 35 categories divided by light-duty vehicles (passenger cars (PCs), sport utility vehicles (SUVs), taxicabs (TXs), light-duty trucks (LDTs)), and heavy-duty vehicles (heavy-duty trucks (HDTs), buses (Bs) and coaches (Cs)). These were further categorized according to fuel used and the implementation of emission control technology. The present categorization entails more accurate estimations of the emissions since each category has its own emission factor which is directly linked to age and technology parameters (Zachariadis and Samaras, 2001).

Different sources of information were considered for the activity data: the National Vehicle Registration Directory (DNRP A being its Spanish acronym), the Gas Regulatory Board of Argentina (ENARGAS), the Automobile Manufacturers Association (ADEFA), the Transport Regulatory Commission of Argentina (CNRT), and the Government of the City of Buenos Aires (GCBA). The total number of circulating PCs and SUVs registered in each district of the MABA until 2006 was provided by DNRP A for different time periods accounting for the implementation of the National Act 24,449 and particularly its resolution 779 in 1995 which established a two step process in the introduction of three-way catalyst (TWC) control (DNRP A, 2007). However, control and maintenance programs have not been thus far enforced, so for the purpose of these inventories it was assumed that vehicles registered before the year 2000 that were originally provided with a TWC do not possess such device in 2006 since TWCs have a lifetime of 5 years. Vehicles registered after year 2000 are considered to be equivalent to European vehicles following the EURO I emission standards and those registered after 2003, to EURO II. Information regarding trucks, buses and coaches was obtained from the annual bulletins reported by the CNRT (CNRT, 2006). The total number of LDTs and HDTs by district for the year 2005 was obtained from a study performed by the National Technological University (C3T, 2007). Following experts’ recommendations HDTs were disaggregated into two categories, thus allowing for inclusion of emissions control technologies. Buses and coaches were all considered to be equivalent to European buses following the EURO II emission standards (CNRT, 2006). The number of taxicabs traveling in the City of Buenos Aires was provided by the GCBA, disaggregated by fuel type (GCBA, 2003). This information was not available for the surrounding districts of the MABA, for which taxicabs were considered in the inventories as PCs. Two-wheelers were not included because the corresponding activity data was not available. The DNRP A has only recently started to analyze and organize this information, which will be incorporated in future studies. For the present study, no scrappage methodologies were applied to the computed fleet because the consulted sources provided information on circulating vehicles for the year 2006, having dismissed old and invalid data.

The fuels employed in Argentina for on-road transport are gasoline, diesel oil and compressed natural gas (CNG). Unleaded gasoline has been mainly used by light-duty vehicles since 1998 when leaded gasoline was banned from the Argentinean market. Diesel oil has always been the fuel used by heavy-duty vehicles and is also employed by light-duty vehicles. The gasoline-diesel oil distribution for PCs, SUVs and LDTs categories was adopted from the DNRP A registries by district. CNG has become increasingly used in Argentina since 1995, especially due to its low market cost. Between 1995 and December 2006, 1.4 million vehicles in Argentina were

---

1COPERT III is a software program developed by the European Environment Agency as a European tool for the calculation of emissions from the road transport sector for the compilation of CORINAIR emission inventories.
Table 2. Distribution by vehicle category of the local fleet and corresponding vehicle kilometers travelled (VKT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Emissions Control</th>
<th>Period of Registration</th>
<th>VKT</th>
<th>Fleet (2006)</th>
<th>Classification Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=1996</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>913,768</td>
<td>Vehicles of less than 2.5 tonnes with an engine size of 1.4-2.0 liters that are employed by private owners for their own travel needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1997-2000</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>272,247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>222,503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC4</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>62,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC5</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>170,826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC6</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>81,822</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC7</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>10,695</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC8</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>158,141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC9</td>
<td>Passenger Cars</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>115,187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX1</td>
<td>Taxicabs</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>19,648</td>
<td>Vehicles of less than 2.5 tonnes with an engine size &gt; 2.0 liters that are employed for passenger public transport; must be differentiated from PCs as they meet different travel demands (higher VKT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX2</td>
<td>Taxicabs</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>6,534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX3</td>
<td>Taxicabs</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX4</td>
<td>Taxicabs</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>5,071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX5</td>
<td>Taxicabs</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>5,559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV1</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=1996</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>56,542</td>
<td>Vehicles of less than 2.5 tonnes with an engine size &gt; 2.0 liters that are employed for the local transport of goods; must be differentiated from SUVs as they meet different travel demands (higher VKT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV2</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1997-2000</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>15,162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV3</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>12,204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV4</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>544</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV5</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>85,517</td>
<td>Vehicles of less than 2.5 tonnes with an engine size &lt; 2.0 liters that are employed by private owners for their own travel needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV6</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>22,647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV7</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>795</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV8</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>20,363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV9</td>
<td>Sport Utility Vehicles</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>11,698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT1</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>31,603</td>
<td>Vehicles of less than 3.5 tonnes with an engine size &lt; 2.0 liters that are employed for the local transport of goods; must be differentiated from SUVs as they meet different travel demands (higher VKT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT2</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>19,800</td>
<td>4,545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT3</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>gasoline</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>21,780</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT4</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>42,471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT5</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>60,500</td>
<td>20,292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT6</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>66,550</td>
<td>556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT7</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>5,989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT8</td>
<td>Light-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>74,250</td>
<td>10,952</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT1</td>
<td>Heavy-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;=2000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>24,486</td>
<td>Vehicles of weight &gt; 3.5 tonnes that travel long distances for the transport of goods at provincial, national or international levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT2</td>
<td>Heavy-Duty Trucks</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001-2006</td>
<td>82,500</td>
<td>5,798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;=2006</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>9,654</td>
<td>Urban public buses that travel around the MABA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Coaches</td>
<td>diesel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;=2006</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>10,560</td>
<td>Interurban buses that travel throughout the national territory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VKT = Vehicle kilometers traveled  CNG= Compressed Natural Gas
retrofitted from gasoline to CNG, with 330,000 of these within the MABA region. The retrofitting process was more prevalent in commercial vehicles. CNG retrofitted vehicles are registered by vehicle type by ENARGAS.

Vehicle mileage is often collected by means of travel surveys, traffic counts and questionnaires to drivers. For this study, the total amount of fuel sold – gasoline, diesel oil and CNG – in 2006 in both geopolitical regions was considered to equal the amount that was actually consumed, and vehicle-kilometer statistics were combined with energy statistics by vehicle category and iteratively adjusted with fuel balance as closure. In order to obtain the representative vehicle-kilometers traveled (VKT) for MABA, whose districts share similarities between the City’s and the Province’s consumption patterns, the results were weighed with the registered fleet in each area. In addition, an age-dependent annual mileage was assumed, employing different mileage estimates for each model year group, since older vehicles tend to be driven less than new ones (Zachariadis and Samaras, 2001).

The final fleet classification with the corresponding vehicle kilometers travelled (VKT) is presented in Table 2.

**Emission factors (EFs)**

Following the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (Goodwin et al., 2006), local measurements of emission factors were complemented with regional and international databases in order to obtain a representative value for each compound and each category that would better characterize the local fleet. For this study emission inventories of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs), particulate matter (PM) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂) were computed.

In Argentina two different measurement campaigns were performed, both in the City of Buenos Aires. The first campaign began in the year 2000, during which four gasoline/CNG hybrid vehicles – three passenger cars (PCs) and one light-duty truck (LDT) – were tested for two years combining different air/fuel mixing ratios, measuring the resulting CO, NOₓ and VOCs emissions with a dynamometer following the US FTP cycle (Vasallo, 2000). The second study was performed by ARPEL (Regional Association of Oil and Natural Gas Companies in Latin America and the Caribbean), Repsol YPF, Exxon Mobil and the GCBA in November 2004 on 25 running vehicles: 20 PCs and 5 LDTs that use gasoline, diesel oil and CNG as their only fuel or which were dually fuelled (gasoline and CNG). Here, CO₂, CO, NOₓ and VOCs emissions were measured (Acosta et al., 2006).

As the available information is not enough to provide a robust set of local emission factors, information from a cluster of Latin American cities was assessed, taking into account driving conditions and fleet characteristics to identify a set of regional EFs best suited to the Argentinean conditions. The cities considered include Sao Paulo (Brazil), Bogota (Colombia), Santiago de Chile (Chile) and Mexico City (Mexico). Amongst the consulted sources, five corresponded to Brazil (Martins et al., 2006; Rideout et al., 2005; Lents et al., 2007; CETESB, 2002-2007; MST, 2002), two to Colombia (Manzi et al., 2003; Behrentz and Rodriguez Vargas, 2008), four to Chile (Rideout et al., 2005; SECTRA, 2007; CONAMA-RM, 2008; Corvalan and Urrutia, 2000) and one to Mexico (Lents et al., 2007).

The best-fitting distribution of each EF was assessed by means of Probability-Probability (P-P) Plots². All data sets were adequately fitted by right-skewed distributions, which however did not follow a log-normal distribution (Figure 2a). Median values were selected since they are

²STATISTICA software (StatSoft Inc.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>VKT</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>N₂O</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOCs</th>
<th>NMVOCs</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC4</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC5</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC6</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC7</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC8</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC9</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX1</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX2</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX3</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.1720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX4</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX5</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV1</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV2</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV3</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV4</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV5</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV6</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.2209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV7</td>
<td>24,200</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.2209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV8</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV9</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT1</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT2</td>
<td>19,800</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT3</td>
<td>21,780</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.1387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT4</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.2638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT5</td>
<td>60,500</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.2378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT6</td>
<td>66,550</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.2378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT7</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDT8</td>
<td>74,250</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT1</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.7906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT2</td>
<td>82,500</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.7906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.8437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a better indication of the central tendency of the data. As a subsequent step of this research, lower and upper quartile values will be employed as a measure of uncertainty contributions to the inventories, bearing in mind that emissions estimates obtained by the application of such emission factors are associated with significant uncertainty due to the highly scattered experimental data. For example, the distribution of the CO emission factors for the four technology categories in the box and whiskers plot in Figure 2b indicate its high dispersion and skewness. The final incorporation of the computed EFs depended on the sample size of each data set, with a required minimum of 30 measurements for light-duty vehicles and 15 for heavy-duty vehicles (CONAMA-RM, 2008). All gasoline vehicles (PCs, SUVs, and LDTs) and diesel-fuelled Bs, Cs and HDTs had enough values for all the analyzed species in order to include the median EFs to the IGACTivities.
inventories’ computation, excepting CH₄ and N₂O. With regards to CNG vehicles, emission factors for CO₂, CO, NOₓ, and VOCs were obtained from these analyses.

Taking into consideration the similarities between Latin American and European fleets proposed by Economopoulos (1993) and the fact that European models comprise a large fraction of the Argentinean fleet, the algorithms of the COPERT methodology (Gkatzoflias et al., 2007) were incorporated into the analysis. This methodology allows computation of emission factors for different vehicle categories which reflect legislative and technological steps (i.e. incorporation of emission control devices as well as emission reductions). The algorithms for the computation of emission factors for the following categories were incorporated to the inventories’ computation from the COPERT 4 model: a) emission factors for all species for diesel light-duty vehicles (PCs, SUVs, and LDTs), because for these categories there were fewer than the minimum requirement for 30 samples; b) CH₄ and N₂O emission factors for all gasoline and diesel-fuelled vehicle categories, as there is almost no measured data for these compounds; and c) available cold-start emission factors were calculated for all vehicle categories and for all species, as the measured values were all obtained from vehicles operating with hot engines. For all vehicle categories, an urban average speed of 35 km h⁻¹ was employed as given by a study performed in the MABA (Acosta et al., 2006). We note that the emission factors proposed by MOBILE 6 (USEPA, 2003) were discarded due to its highly demanding data input. Emissions factors proposed by the IPCC (EFDB) for CH₄ and N₂O for CNG vehicles were incorporated into the computations, as they represent the only source of information for these species for the named categories.

The resulting emissions factors, by species and vehicle category, are presented in Table 3.

**Results**

**Emission inventories**

Annual emissions for the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires for the year 2006 from the on-road mobile sector are given in Table 4. Figure 3a presents the distribution of emissions according to vehicle type (light-duty vehicles grouped according to fuel used and diesel heavy-duty vehicles), showing the contribution of each to the emissions of the different species. These are computed directly from the fuel consumption within each category, and it is interesting to note that the four main groups were almost equally responsible for CO₂ emissions. In spite of the fact that heavy duty vehicles were small in number compared to the total fleet, their CO₂ emissions almost equaled those of gasoline and diesel vehicles because of their high number of vehicle kilometers traveled and their high fuel consumption values. Gasoline Light-Duty vehicles were the main emitters of CO and VOCs, accounting for almost 85% of CO emissions and 60% of VOCs emissions, while they only represented a small fraction (20%) of CH₄, N₂O, NOₓ, and SO₂ emissions. On the other hand, Diesel Light and Heavy-Duty vehicles together were responsible for almost all PM emissions, 70% of SO₂ emissions and 55% of NOₓ emissions, while they accounted for only 4% of CO emissions. CNG Light-Duty vehicles represented 70% of CH₄ and N₂O emissions.

These emissions were further disaggregated by more specific vehicle categories, showing the importance of understanding these specific categories’ contributions in the computation of the final emissions (Figure 3b). Gasoline passenger cars registered before 1997 were the highest emitters of CO, VOCs, NMVOCs and CO₂, accounting for 60%, 40%, 45% and 17% of total emissions respectively. This category was composed of old vehicles with no emissions control devices nor maintenance, making them very difficult to characterize with a single emission factor. The inclusion of regional...
emission factors was crucial for this category in particular as emission factors measured in developed countries do not properly characterize the emissions from these old vehicles, thus highlighting the importance of obtaining local measurements. Emission factors for Diesel Heavy-Duty Trucks were generated using data on number of vehicles and vehicle kilometers travelled that are highly uncertain. This had the largest effect on the partitioning of emissions of CO₂, NOₓ, PM and SO₂, where HDTs are responsible for 17%, 23%, 39% and 28% of emissions respectively.

These results demonstrate the importance of improving knowledge of vehicle number, the technology distribution of all vehicle types, and having more emission factors measurement campaigns with local vehicles operating on local driving cycles.

**Reconstruction of Activity Data**

Since in most developing countries activity data is not readily available, especially in provinces or districts outside the capital cities, a number of socioeconomic variables from the national census (INDEC, 2001) and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) were explored in order to identify the parameter that would best infer the number of vehicles registered in each district. Total population, population density, number of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>N₂O</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>VOCs</th>
<th>NMVOCs</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>SO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>4,751</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>211.1</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMA</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCP</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMA</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAN</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LZ</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MON</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QU</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDVs North</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDVs West</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDVs South</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11,548</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>568.8</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>6.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Emissions (Gg year⁻¹) for the year 2006 by district of the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires**

HDVs= Heavy-Duty Vehicles (Trucks, Buses and Coaches)
vehicles, number of vehicles per capita, the percentage of the population with unsatisfied basic needs (UBN), GDP, and the human development index (HDI) of the UNDP were all analyzed. The UBN index, computed by the ECLAC (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean) indicates the percentage of the population with poor housing and crowding conditions, sanitation, school attendance, and subsistence capacity, computed with high level of spatial disaggregation. This appears to be the most appropriate factor for determining the number of vehicles per capita by district, as given by a correlation factor ($r^2$) of 0.91 (Figure 4).

**Emissions Disaggregation**

**a) By district**

With the information provided by the DNRPA, emission inventories for each district were compiled employing only vehicles of private and commercial use that did not perform a controlled and specific route of transport: passenger cars, taxicabs, sport-utility vehicles and light-duty trucks. Figure 5 presents the spatial distribution of 2006 CO and PM emissions. Emissions of CO correlate with the registration of vehicles, accounting for their type and number, whereas PM emissions correlate with the number of registered diesel vehicles.

**b) By street length**

For regional and inverse modeling purposes, the computed emissions were disaggregated in a 1000 celled-grid of $DX=DY=0.018°$. Considering the characteristic routes and traffic patterns of the different types of vehicles, emissions were spatially distributed using the following assumptions to scale the emissions from each source:

- **Light-Duty Vehicles**: Emissions scaled with the inverse UBN index and the total length of streets within each cell. It was assumed that the circulation of these vehicles increased in high income areas.
- **Heavy Duty Trucks and Coaches**: Emissions scaled with the total street distance (length) of the Heavy-Duty transport network within each cell.
- **Urban Buses**: Emissions scaled with the UBN index and the total length of streets within each cell. It was assumed that the circulation of these vehicles increased in low income areas.

Total emissions were distributed in each cell using the compound factor $\lambda_i$:

$$m_{ij} = \lambda_i * M_j$$

where:

- $m_{ij}$ = emissions of species j in cell i,
- $M_j$ = total emissions of species j
- $UBN_i$ = Unsatisfied Basic Needs index for cell i
- $L_i$ = Length of Streets within cell i

Spatial disaggregation of CO emissions resulted mostly from Light-Duty vehicles (PCs, SUVs, and LDTs) that were registered in each district (Figure 6), thus following registration patterns. When analyzing other compounds, especially those such as PM which are related to heavy duty vehicles, the mapping of emission follows the driving patterns of these vehicles.

**Figure 4.** Correlation between the number of vehicles per capita and the UBN index for the districts of the MABA.

**Figure 5.** Spatial disaggregation of a) CO emissions (Gg year$^{-1}$) and b) PM emissions (Gg year$^{-1}$) by district.

$$y = 0.293 \times 10^{0.6877x}$$

$R^2 = 0.9127$
Conclusions
In this work, the results of 2006 annual emission inventories of \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{CH}_4 \), \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{NO}_x \), VOCs, NMVOCs, PM and \( \text{SO}_2 \) for the metropolitan area of Buenos Aires, Argentina, are reported, together with the variables that contribute to emissions, i.e.: number of vehicles, vehicle kilometers travelled and emission factors. An accurate representation of the local fleet was obtained through the collection of local data. Where local information was not available, data from a cluster of Latin American cities was selected to generate regional information that is most suitable to the local conditions of the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires.

The computed emissions were spatially disaggregated into a 1000 celled grid according to the total length of streets within each grid cell and the Unsatisfied Basic Need (UBN) index, to be employed for regional and inverse modeling purposes.

An innovative approach for the determination of the registered vehicles by district was developed, relating the socioeconomic parameter UNB index with the number of vehicles per capita, with a correlation coefficient of 0.91.

This research is still in progress and what remains to be tackled are the associated uncertainties.
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Ice and halogens: laboratory studies to improve the modelling of field data

Contributed by John Sodeau (j.sodeau@ucc.ie), University College Cork, Department of Chemistry and Environmental Research Institute, Cork, Ireland.

Introduction

In the past 10 years or so there has been an explosion of interest, research, and reports regarding studies of chemical exchange between the atmosphere and the cryosphere, chemical processes mediated by ice and snow surfaces, and halogen chemistry in polar regions, both in the troposphere and stratosphere. A great deal of the work has been summarized in a recent, special issue of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, which is referenced below. However, while there has been a fantastic increase in our awareness of the importance of these processes to the ultimate composition of the atmosphere, our fundamental understanding of the driving chemical and physical process, and, as a consequence, our ability to simulate them using computer models, is severely limited at this time. We clearly need at this point to better connect the field research community with the communities studying relevant processes in the laboratory and those using models, as well as connect those working on these issues in the context of the polar lower troposphere and those focusing on heterogeneous and cold-temperature reactions in the stratosphere. Given recent advances in knowledge it is timely for these communities to explore and define (where there are suitable challenges and opportunities) the possibilities for new laboratory studies that will answer critical open questions. Hence members of two IGAC Tasks “Air Ice Chemical Interactions” (AICI; http://www.igac.noaa.gov/AICI.php & IGACtivities issue No. 29) and “Halogens in the Troposphere” (HitT; http://www.igac.noaa.gov/HitT.php & IGACtivities issue No. 39) as well as members of WCRP’s Stratospheric Processes and their Role in Climate project (SPARC; http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/special_issue80.html; see References below) and within the stratospheric community, via SPARC.

Two specific targets were set up in order to provide a Framework for the workshop discussions:

i. To address the questions which have arisen within both the recent Issue of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (Vol 7) published in 2006/2007 (http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/special_issue80.html; see References below) and within the stratospheric community, via SPARC.

ii. To introduce this science to a new group of researchers both at Senior and PhD level, and in doing so to encourage collaboration across areas of expertise (i.e. field measurements, lab measurements and modeling).

The workshop program was divided into six half-day sessions, each with a “Discussion/Tutorial Leader”:

1. Surface and Bulk Properties of Ices and Clouds
2. New Experimental Approaches to the Study of Ices and Aerosols
3. Halogen Activation in the Atmosphere
4. Mercury in the Cold
5. Kinetics of the Cold Atmosphere
6. Outcomes and Challenges

The Workshop was constructed to be deliberately laboratory-centric in nature but many participants with field and modeling interests also attended to provide an essential sense of atmospheric perspective. Over fifty scientists attended and from the feedback that the organizers received, many new ideas were stimulated and several new collaborations between laboratory, field and the “virtual” world were forged. A BAS-hosted web-site has been developed to archive the workshop presentations and discussions (for participant access). Here we summarize critical aspects of the discussions as well as the main conclusions and recommendations, as presented by Paul Shepson and Tony Cox in the final half-day session. In particular they addressed the future role of the AICI team so this specifically is discussed at the end of this article.

Scientific Topics

Each of the thematic half-day sessions was constructed to allow about two hours in total of discussion time with about four lectures presented. Only a flavor of the material presented can be shown in this article and so just four examples are given (see boxes) to highlight the breadth of studies currently being undertaken to help understand the atmospheric chemistry that occurs in the polar regions.

Workshop Summary: Outcomes and Challenges

Considerable progress has been made in the area of ice/ atmosphere interactions in the context of atmospheric chemistry and composition in the polar regions. We know that there is an important role of heterogeneous reactions in controlling the main trace gases and more esoteric species like mercury; a knowledge of reactive halogen chemistry is central to this.

However we do not have enough quantitative information and understanding of basic parameters yet to formulate a predictive numerical model. This is particularly true of chemical processes occurring at the air/ice interface and the physical processes involved in production and loss of atmospheric particulate material. This leads to large uncertainties in the source terms for reactive gases (halogens, NOx species, Hg, etc.) and quantification of the recycling of these gases in the
Much discussion centered on areas where new observation techniques were needed to answer fundamental questions relating to the physical and chemical processes around air-ice interactions. For example: How to best measure the density of “dangling OH” bonds: surface spectroscopy or chemical interrogation? What techniques can be employed to observe adsorbates on surfaces and to examine 3D chemical morphology and surface pH? What techniques might be developed to observe characteristics of the Quasi-Brine Layer (QBL) on real ice surfaces?

At a more fundamental level there was much discussion regarding the need to understand what the terms quasi-liquid layer (QLL) or quasi-brine layer (QBL) actually mean, in physical and chemical terms. Hence for laboratory studies, researchers need to know how to make a relevant phase/system in which to study kinetics and mechanisms. However little is known about the polar environment.

Therefore one important role of the AICI and HitT Tasks has been to assist the community in leveraging and coordinating our combined intellectual and tangible assets, and documenting our priorities/needs in the area of atmospheric chemistry at the ice/atmosphere boundary. The BAS Workshop is one of the ways in which the community has attempted to do this and the following broad issues/questions arose:

- How can we best advance the field of research and its fundamental science?
- How can we coordinate/combine resources?
- What is the best way to influence international support for the studies?

The lectures and extensive discussions that took place in Cambridge expanding on these points can be divided into the following categories: 1. Technology Development; 2. Laboratory Studies; 3. Shared Facilities; 4. Coordinated/Scientifically Focused Field Studies; 5. Data Exchange, Evaluation and Assessment; 6. Modeling studies.

### 1. Technology Development

Much discussion centered on areas where new observation techniques were needed to answer fundamental questions relating to the physical and chemical processes around air-ice interactions. For example: How to best measure the density of “dangling OH” bonds: surface spectroscopy or chemical interrogation? What techniques can be employed to observe adsorbates on surfaces and to examine 3D chemical morphology and surface pH? What techniques might be developed to observe characteristics of the Quasi-Brine Layer (QBL) on real ice surfaces?

At a more fundamental level there was much discussion regarding the need to understand what the terms quasi-liquid layer (QLL) or quasi-brine layer (QBL) actually mean, in physical and chemical terms. Hence for laboratory studies, researchers need to know how to make a relevant phase/system in which to study kinetics and mechanisms. However little is known about the polar environment.
composition of reactants in these environments. Tools that can distinguish between them are required along with methods to determine thickness and coverage.

It was also felt that techniques to provide speciation data and the quantification of a variety of relevant chemical systems are needed. In this regard a main issue continues to centre on the chemical nature of Reactive Gas-phase Mercury but there are also needs for determining other “exotic” but usefully-observed species, such as bromo-PAN. Finally many questions about the chemical composition of CN/aerosols within the polar atmosphere remain open.

2. Laboratory Studies

The discussion of laboratory studies began with key questions being posed about the extent to which the systems created “indoors” and the fundamental processes studied there are relevant to the multiphase and complex systems known to exist “outdoors” in the real world. In recent years knowledge has clearly been developing about the varying characteristics of ice surfaces and the influence of temperature, humidity and the chemical composition of the atmosphere on their behaviors. It was concluded that such knowledge (and more) is essential for the design of appropriate laboratory experiments in order to advance the field.

The discussions covered both condensed-phase and gas-phase processes. Several wide-ranging points emerged, particularly regarding heterogeneous aspects:

• Gas/surface uptake partition coefficients should be measured especially for snow, brine and sea ice. Is frozen sea water a good proxy in this regard? There will be benefits to studying a simplified system, i.e. synthetic sea salt without the biotic components, but when do such media become irrelevant?

• Rate coefficients for fundamental reactions thought to be important (e.g. high ionic strengths in solution) should be measured. The experiments must be performed at low temperatures and as a function of temperature.
Air/ice partition coefficients need to be measured for a variety of species; our knowledge of the surface characteristics of ice suggests it is probable that Henry’s Law is not appropriate.

Rates obtained in aqueous solutions should be compared with those measured in solids and, whenever possible, quasi liquid layers.

What condensed phase reactants are really important? A little-studied question is whether or not there exist important reactions involving metals, e.g. Fe, Cu, Mn.

Rate coefficients and product distributions at low temperatures for gas phase reactions should also be measured. Researchers should be aware of the existence of adducts/complexes at low temperatures when interpreting mechanisms.

The uptake of Hg(g) on representative solids should be investigated, at any convenient concentrations. “Cold mercury” chemistry remains a big unknown in our knowledge base.

3. Shared Facilities
All agreed that there would be substantial merit in performing collaborative research experiments using ice surfaces generated in large reaction chambers. This was an important conclusion reached by the Workshop group. The questions are: How? Where? What should it be used for?

Enthusiasm was so great for this idea that potential configurations of a specialized chamber were discussed, e.g. frozen sea water with a cold air Teflon dome over it. In such a chamber, different surfaces (including frost flowers, synthesized snow etc.) could be tested, prepared, and utilized. Working toward the establishment of such a community ice chamber facility would bring together the laboratory, field and modeling communities in a realistic and productive way.

4. Coordinated/Scientifically Focused Field Experiments
The discussions centered on issues that could be best addressed by coordinated field experiments. Again one purpose of the Workshop was to pose questions and the following were deemed of most importance in this debate:

- What organic substrates are important in snow/sea ice? In fact, the fundamental question was raised: Do organics matter at all?

- Controlled field experiments to measure the relative rates and distribution of products of activation processes are required, e.g. from N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, RX, O\textsubscript{3}, HOX.

- Fluxes above/to various surfaces of importance must be measured. There was considerable discussion about the need to measure fluxes of a wide variety of species, including inorganic materials and organic compounds such as OVOCs.

- The mechanisms for sea salt aerosol generation should be determined, e.g. sublimation of wind-blown frost flowers and blowing snow.

5. Data exchange, evaluation and assessment
It was agreed that communication between laboratory and polar field researchers was not altogether absent but that considerable effort is needed to maintain and enhance the relationship. Therefore the discussion centered on methods of improving information exchange, with an eventual aim to provide a proper...
climate assessment study.

- Is there scope for improvement of communication between laboratory personnel, field workers and modelers, e.g., by data archiving and data evaluation?

- Is the field mature enough to embark on model assessment of the impact of pollution and climate change on the polar boundary layer processes?

6. Modeling

A need was identified to greatly improve mathematical modeling studies of ice-atmosphere processes on a wide range from the molecular scale (including molecular dynamics simulations) to the prediction of large scale atmospheric impacts. In particular molecular scale models of the quasi liquid layer (QLL) as a function of temperature were needed to answer the following important unknowns:

- What is the composition of QLL? Many technological difficulties are associated with this question as mentioned above but “simple” questions such as, ‘Do all non-water species found in molten snow reside in the QLL?’ remain to be answered.

- Can reactions in the QLL be modeled as in droplets? (If yes – above which critical QLL thickness is this not valid? If no – is Henry’s law valid for QLL uptake? If not, what is a valid model?)

- Are currently used models valid for the QLL?

- How do quantum yields in the QLL compare with those in solid ice/bulk liquid?

- What chemistry happens at the QLL/substrate interface?

**Atmospheric concentrations of elemental mercury (Hg$_0$, blue), Reactive Gaseous Mercury (RGM, red) and mercury associated with particulates (Hg$_p$, green) measured on Mt Zeppelin (Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard) during the MEDEX project in Spring 2003. The three pronounced periods of extremely low elemental mercury can be clearly seen, and it is also clear that these periods are at times accompanied by high concentrations of RGM (an operational definition referring to gas phase oxidized Hg compounds which can be sampled using a KCl denuder), but that this is not always the case. (Modified from Sproveiri et al., 2005, Figure 6)**
In true Workshop fashion it was also suggested that these were the wrong questions to ask! The main issues might simply be those relevant to other parts of the atmosphere. Hence detailed knowledge of the QLL may be irrelevant, and instead what is needed is reaction rate and total uptake data. The QLL might be regarded simply as a solid phase/surface issue and not necessarily involved in the “dirty”, liquid, chemistry present at the poles. These issues were not resolved although it was concluded that interfacial kinetics could not be properly represented by parameters simply transferred from the bulk liquid phase.

Other modeling issues were also discussed:

- CaCO$_3$ precipitation and temperature-dependence of critical equilibrium warrants more study; experiments are particularly required to test the models.
- What is the importance of frost flowers? A source function/parameterization for inclusion in local and global models is required, similar to that recently reported for blowing snow.
- Better modeling of the fluxes of key gases relevant to field monitoring is needed.
- A detailed kinetics model of the life cycle of atmospheric mercury species, including gas-phase and condensed (ice) reactions is required.

**AICI : Where next?**

The scientific objectives of AICI have always linked directly to two over-arching science questions posed for/by IGAC, namely:

- Within the Earth System, what effects do changing regional emissions and depositions have on air quality and the chemical composition of the planetary boundary layer?
- What is the role of atmospheric chemistry in amplifying or damping climate change?

The corresponding questions for answer in AICI have been:

- How will changing amounts of sea ice, snow cover, and atmospheric ice alter atmospheric chemistry and composition? Are there important feedbacks to climate?
- What are the present regional emissions and losses over snow, ice and sea ice? How could they alter with changing climate?

It was clear from the workshop discussions we do not yet have enough quantitative information and understanding of basic parameters for predictive numerical models to help answer the AICI questions. This is particularly true of chemical processes occurring at the air/ice interface and the physical processes involved in the production and loss of atmospheric particulate material. This leads to large uncertainties in the source terms for reactive gases (halogens, NO$_x$ species, Hg, etc.) and recycling of these gases in the polar environment.

To help us move forward, the AICI community concluded that it would be worthwhile considering coordinated laboratory/chamber studies in order to address questions such as:

- What is the composition of ice?
- Do impurities sit on the surface?
- What type of layer do the impurities sit in and how fast do they form?
- What are the chemical and physical natures of the QLL and QBL?
- How are ‘sea salt’ aerosols produced over ice-covered zones?
- How much recycling of reactive species occurs in the snow and the aerosol?
- What is the role of condensed-phase photochemistry?

Hence we need to invest in a community-based/-accessible cold surfaces reaction chamber of sufficient volume to enable study of a range of surfaces and to simultaneously measure reaction products. This effort is crucial because it is important to relate what is measured in the laboratory to the real outdoor environment and **vice versa**. AICI should act to initiate the coordination of this effort and in due course organize a major conference to publicize the results achieved in this fascinating aspect of Atmospheric Science.
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